arXiv:0905.3937v2 [math.AP] 26 Jan 2010

INCOMPRESSIBLE LIMIT OF THE COMPRESSIBLE MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS WITH VANISHING VISCOSITY COEFFICIENTS

SONG JIANG, QIANGCHANG JU, AND FUCAI LI

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the incompressible limit of the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vanishing viscosity coefficients and general initial data in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 2$ or 3). It is rigorously showed that, as the Mach number, the shear viscosity coefficient and the magnetic diffusion coefficient simultaneously go to zero, the weak solution of the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations converges to the strong solution of the ideal incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations as long as the latter exists.

1. Introduction

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) studies the dynamics of compressible quasineutrally ionized fluids under the influence of electromagnetic fields. The applications of MHD cover a very wide range of physical objects, from liquid metals to cosmic plasmas. The compressible viscous magnetohydrodynamic equations in the isentropic case take the form ([11, 12, 17])

$$\partial_t \tilde\rho + \mathrm{div}(\tilde\rho\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t(\tilde\rho\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathrm{div}(\tilde\rho\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\otimes\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + \nabla\tilde P = (\mathrm{curl}\,\tilde{\mathbf{H}})\times\tilde{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde\mu\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{u}} + (\tilde\mu+\tilde\lambda)\nabla(\mathrm{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\partial_t\tilde{\mathbf{H}} - \mathrm{curl}\,(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\times\tilde{\mathbf{H}}) = -\mathrm{curl}\,(\tilde\nu\,\mathrm{curl}\,\tilde{\mathbf{H}}), \quad \mathrm{div}\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, d = 2$ or 3, $t > 0$, the unknowns $\tilde\rho$ denotes the density, $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\tilde u_1, \dots, \tilde u_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the velocity, and $\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = (\tilde H_1, \dots, \tilde H_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the magnetic field, respectively. The constants $\tilde\mu$ and $\tilde\lambda$ are the shear and bulk viscosity coefficients of the flow, respectively, satisfying $\tilde\mu > 0$ and $2\tilde\mu + d\tilde\lambda > 0$; the constant $\tilde\nu > 0$ is the magnetic diffusivity acting as a magnetic diffusion coefficient of the magnetic field. $\tilde P(\tilde\rho)$ is the pressure-density function and here we consider the case

$$\tilde P(\tilde\rho) = a\tilde\rho^\gamma, \quad a > 0, \gamma > 1. \tag{1.4}$$

Date: January 26, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76W05, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. compressible MHD equations, ideal incompressible MHD equations, low Mach number, zero viscosities.

There are a lot of studies on the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations in the literature. Here we mention some results on the multi-dimensional case. For the two-dimensional case, Kawashima [10] obtained the global existence of smooth solutions to the general electro-magneto-fluid equations when the initial data are small perturbations of some given constant state. For the three-dimensional compressible MHD equations, Umeda, Kawashima and Shizuta [19] obtained the global existence and the time decay of smooth solutions to the linearized MHD equations. Li and Yu [13] obtained the optimal decay rate of classical solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations around a constant equilibrium. The local strong solution to the compressible MHD equations with general initial data was obtained by Vol'pert and Khudiaev [20], and Fan and Yu [4]. Recently, Hu and Wang [6, 7], and Fan and Yu [5] established the existence of global weak solutions to the compressible MHD equations with general initial data; while in [21] Zhang, Jiang and Xie discussed a MHD model describing the screw pinch problem in plasma physics and showed the global existence of weak solutions with symmetry.

From the physical point of view, one can formally derive the incompressible models from the compressible ones when the Mach number goes to zero and the density becomes almost constant. Recently, Hu and Wang [8] obtained the convergence of weak solutions of the compressible MHD equations (1.1)-(1.3) to the weak solution of the incompressible viscous MHD equations. In [9], the authors have employed the modulated energy method to verify the limit of weak solutions of the compressible MHD equations (1.1)-(1.3) in the torus to the strong solution of the incompressible viscous or partial viscous MHD equations (shear viscosity efficient is zero but magnetic diffusion coefficient is a positive constant). It is worth mentioning that the analysis in [9] turns out that the magnetic diffusion is very important to control the interaction between the oscillations and the magnetic field in the torus. The rigorous justification of convergence for compressible MHD equations to the *ideal MHD equations* (inviscid, incompressible MHD equations) in the torus is left open in [9] for general initial data.

The purpose of this paper is to derive the ideal incompressible MHD equations from the compressible MHD equations (1.1)-(1.3) in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 2$ or 3) with general initial data. In fact, when the viscosities (including the shear viscosity coefficient and the magnetic diffusion coefficient) also go to zero, we lose the spatial compactness property of the velocity and the magnetic field, and the arguments in [8] can not work in this case. In order to surmount this difficulty, we shall carefully use the energy arguments. Precisely, we shall describe the oscillations caused by the general initial data and include them in the energy estimates. Some ideas of this type were introduced by Schochet [18] and extended to the case of vanishing viscosity coefficients in [15, 16]. To begin our argument, we first give some formal analysis. Formally, by utilizing the identity

$$\nabla(|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2) = 2(\tilde{\mathbf{H}}\cdot\nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{H}} + 2\tilde{\mathbf{H}}\times \operatorname{curl}\tilde{\mathbf{H}},$$

we can rewrite the momentum equation (1.2) as

$$\partial_t(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\mathbf{u}})+\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\otimes\tilde{\mathbf{u}})+\nabla\tilde{P} = (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}\cdot\nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{H}}-\frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\tilde{\mathbf{H}}|^2)+\tilde{\mu}\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{u}}+(\tilde{\mu}+\tilde{\lambda})\nabla(\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}). \tag{1.5}$$

By the identities

$$\operatorname{curl}\operatorname{curl}\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \nabla\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{H}} - \Delta\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$$

and

$$\operatorname{curl}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\times\tilde{\mathbf{H}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{H}}) - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}\cdot\nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{u}} - (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{H}},$$

together with the constraint $\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = 0$, the magnetic field equation (1.3) can be expressed as

$$\partial_t\tilde{\mathbf{H}} + (\operatorname{div}\tilde{\mathbf{u}})\tilde{\mathbf{H}} + (\tilde{u}\cdot\nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{H}} - (\tilde{\mathbf{H}}\cdot\nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{\nu}\Delta\tilde{\mathbf{H}}. \tag{1.6}$$

We introduce the scaling

$$\tilde{\rho}(x,t) = \rho^\epsilon(x,\epsilon t), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(x,t) = \epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(x,\epsilon t), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(x,t) = \epsilon\mathbf{H}^\epsilon(x,\epsilon t)$$

and assume that the viscosity coefficients $\tilde{\mu}$, $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ are small constants and scaled like

$$\tilde{\mu} = \epsilon\mu^\epsilon, \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \epsilon\lambda^\epsilon, \quad \tilde{\nu} = \epsilon\nu^\epsilon, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is a small parameter and the normalized coefficients μ^ϵ, λ^ϵ and ν^ϵ satisfy $\mu^\epsilon > 0$, $2\mu^\epsilon + d\lambda^\epsilon > 0$, and $\nu^\epsilon > 0$. With the preceding scalings and using the pressure function (1.4), the compressible MHD equations (1.1), (1.5) and (1.6) take the form

$$\partial_t\rho^\epsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) + \frac{a\nabla(\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma}{\epsilon^2} &= (\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2)\\ &\quad + \mu^\epsilon\Delta\mathbf{u}^\epsilon + (\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\nabla(\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon),\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

$$\partial_t\mathbf{H}^\epsilon + (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon + (\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon - (\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon = \nu^\epsilon\Delta\mathbf{H}^\epsilon, \quad \operatorname{div}\mathbf{H}^\epsilon = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

Replacing ϵ by $\sqrt{a\gamma}\,\epsilon$, we can always assume $a = 1/\gamma$. Formally if we let $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain from the momentum equation (1.9) that ρ^ϵ converges to some function $\bar{\rho}(t) \ge 0$. If we further assume that the initial data ρ_0^ϵ is of order $1+O(\epsilon)$ (this can be guaranteed by the initial energy bound (2.5) below), then we can expect that $\bar{\rho} = 1$. If the limits $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \to \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{H}^\epsilon \to \mathbf{H}$ exist, then the continuity equation (1.8) gives $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u} = 0$. Assuming that

$$\mu^\epsilon \to 0, \quad \nu^\epsilon \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0, \tag{1.11}$$

we obtain the following ideal incompressible MHD equations

$$\partial_t\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H} + \nabla p + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2) = 0, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\partial_t\mathbf{H} + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H} - (\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u} = 0, \tag{1.13}$$

$$\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \mathrm{div}\mathbf{H} = 0. \tag{1.14}$$

In the present paper we will prove rigorously that a weak solution of the compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) with general initial data converges to, as the small parameter ϵ goes to 0, the strong solution of the ideal incompressible MHD equations (1.12)-(1.14) in the time interval where the strong solution of (1.12)-(1.14) exists.

Before ending the introduction, we give the notations used throughout the current paper. We denote the space $L_2^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ $(q \geq 1)$ by

$$L_2^q(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f \in L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d) : f1_{\{|f|\leq 1/2\}} \in L^2, f1_{\{|f|\geq 1/2\}} \in L^q\}.$$

The letters C and C_T denote various positive constants independent of ϵ, but C_T may depend on T. For convenience, we denote by $W^{s,r} \equiv W^{s,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the standard Sobolev space. In particular, if $r = 2$ we denote $W^{s,r} \equiv H^s$. For any vector field $\mathbf{v}$, we denote by $P\mathbf{v}$ and $Q\mathbf{v}$, respectively, the divergence-free part of $\mathbf{v}$ and the gradient part of $\mathbf{v}$, namely, $Q\mathbf{v} = \nabla\Delta^{-1}(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v})$ and $P\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} - Q\mathbf{v}$.

We state our main results in Section 2 and present the proofs in Section 3.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We first recall the local existence of a strong solution to the ideal incompressible MHD equations (1.12)-(1.14). The proof can be found in [3].

Proposition 2.1 ([3]). *Assume that the initial data* $(\mathbf{u}(x,t), \mathbf{H}(x,t))|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0(x), \mathbf{H}_0(x))$ *satisfy* $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{H}_0 \in H^s$, $s > d/2 + 1$, *and* $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_0 = 0, \mathrm{div}\mathbf{H}_0 = 0$. *Then, there exist a* $T^* \in (0, \infty)$ *and a unique solution* $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) \in L^\infty([0, T^*), H^s)$ *to the ideal incompressible MHD equations* (1.12)-(1.14) *satisfying, for any* $0 < T < T^*$, $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} = 0$, $\mathrm{div}\mathbf{H} = 0$, *and*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \left\{ ||(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H})(t)||_{H^s} + ||(\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \mathbf{H})(t)||_{H^{s-1}} \right\} \leq C_T. \tag{2.1}$$

We prescribe the initial conditions to the compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) as

$$\rho^\epsilon|_{t=0} = \rho_0^\epsilon(x), \quad \rho^\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon|_{t=0} = \rho_0^\epsilon(x)\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon(x) \equiv \mathbf{m}_0^\epsilon(x), \quad \mathbf{H}^\epsilon|_{t=0} = \mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon(x), \tag{2.2}$$

and assume that

$$\rho_0^\epsilon \geq 0, \ \rho_0^\epsilon - 1 \in L_2^\gamma, \ \rho_0^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon|^2 \in L^1, \ \mathbf{m}_0^\epsilon = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \rho_0^\epsilon = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon \in L^2, \ \mathrm{div}\mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, we assume that the initial data also satisfy the following uniform bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big[\frac{1}{2}\rho_0^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{a}{\epsilon^2(\gamma-1)}\big((\rho_0^\epsilon)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma(\rho_0^\epsilon - 1)\big)\Big] dx \leq C. \tag{2.5}$$

Under the above assumptions, it was pointed out in [6] that the Cauchy problem of the compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) has a global weak solution, which can be stated as follows (see also [8]).

Proposition 2.2 ([6,8]). *Let $\gamma > d/2$. Supposing that the initial data $(\rho_0^\epsilon, \mathbf{m}_0^\epsilon, \mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon)$ satisfy the assumptions (2.3)-(2.5), then the compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) with the initial data (2.2) enjoy at least one global weak solution $(\rho^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{H}^\epsilon)$ satisfying*

1. *$\rho^\epsilon - 1 \in L^\infty(0,\infty; L_2^\gamma) \cap C([0,\infty), L_2^r)$ for all $1 \le r < \gamma$, $\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 \in L^\infty(0,\infty; L^1)$, $\mathbf{H}^\epsilon \in L^\infty(0,\infty; L^2)$, and $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \in L^2(0,T; H^1)$, $\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \in C([0,T], L_{weak}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}})$, $\mathbf{H}^\epsilon \in L^2(0,T; H^1) \cap C([0,T], L_{weak}^{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}})$ for all $T \in (0,\infty)$;*
2. *the energy inequality*
$$\mathcal{E}^\epsilon(t) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}^\epsilon(s)ds \le \mathcal{E}^\epsilon(0) \tag{2.6}$$
holds with the finite total energy
$$\mathcal{E}^\epsilon(t) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\frac{1}{2}\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{a}{\epsilon^2(\gamma-1)}\big((\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma(\rho^\epsilon - 1)\big)\right](t) \tag{2.7}$$
and the dissipation energy
$$\mathcal{D}^\epsilon(t) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\mu^\epsilon|\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 + (\mu^\epsilon + \lambda^\epsilon)|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 + \nu^\epsilon|\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2\right](t); \tag{2.8}$$
3. *the continuity equation is satisfied in the sense of renormalized solutions, i.e.,*
$$\partial_t b(\rho^\epsilon) + \mathrm{div}(b(\rho^\epsilon)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) + \big(b'(\rho^\epsilon)\rho^\epsilon - b(\rho^\epsilon)\big)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon = 0 \tag{2.9}$$
for any $b \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $b'(z)$ is a constant for z large enough;
4. *the equations (1.8)-(1.10) hold in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\infty))$.*

The initial energy inequality (2.5) implies that ρ_0^ϵ is of order $1 + O(\epsilon)$. We write $\rho^\epsilon = 1 + \epsilon\varphi^\epsilon$ and denote

$$\Pi^\epsilon(x,t) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\sqrt{\frac{2a}{\gamma-1}\big((\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma(\rho^\epsilon - 1)\big)}.$$

We will use the above approximation $\Pi^\epsilon(x,t)$ instead of φ^ϵ, since we can not obtain any bound for φ^ϵ in $L^\infty(0,T; L^2)$ directly if $\gamma < 2$.

We also need to impose the following conditions on the solution $(\rho^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{H}^\epsilon)$ at infinity

$$\rho^\epsilon \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to +\infty, \quad \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \to 0, \quad \mathbf{H}^\epsilon \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to +\infty.$$

The main results of this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $s > d/2+2$ and the conditions in Proposition 2.2 hold. Assume that the shear viscosity μ^ϵ and the magnetic diffusion coefficient ν^ϵ satisfy*

$$\mu^\epsilon = \epsilon^\alpha, \quad \nu^\epsilon = \epsilon^\beta \tag{2.10}$$

for some constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ satisfying $0 < \alpha + \beta < 2$. Moreover we assume that $\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon$ converges strongly in L^2 to some $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_0$, $\mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon$ converges strongly in L^2 to some $\mathbf{H}_0$, and Π_0^ϵ converges strongly in L^2 to some φ_0. Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H})$ be the smooth solution to the ideal incompressible MHD equations (1.12)-(1.14) defined on $[0, T^*)$ with $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H})|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{H}_0), \mathbf{u}_0 = P\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_0$. Then, for any $0 < T < T^*$, the global weak solution $(\rho^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\epsilon, \mathbf{H}^\epsilon)$ of the compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) established in Proposition 2.2 satisfies

(1) *ρ^ϵ converges strongly to 1 in $C([0,T], L_2^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d))$;*
(2) *$\mathbf{H}^\epsilon$ converges strongly to $\mathbf{H}$ in $L^\infty(0,T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$;*
(3) *$P(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)$ converges strongly to $\mathbf{u}$ in $L^\infty(0,T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$;*
(4) *$\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ converges strongly to $\mathbf{u}$ in $L^r(0,T; L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for all $1 \le r < +\infty$.*

The proof of above results is based on the combination of the modulated energy method, motivated by Brenier [1], the Strichartz's estimate of linear wave equations and the weak convergence method. Masmoudi [16] made use of such ideas to consider the incompressible, inviscid convergence of weak solution of compressible Navier-Stokes equations to the strong solution of the incompressible Euler equations in the whole space and the torus. Different from the proof in [16], we have to overcome the difficulties caused by the strong coupling of the hydrodynamic motion and the magnetic fields. Very careful energy estimates are employed to estimate those nonlinear terms for large data, see step 4 in the proof of Theorem 2.3 below. On the other hand, because the general initial data are considered in the present paper, the oscillations appear and propagate with the solution. We will use the Strichartz's estimate of linear wave equations to deal with such oscillations and their interactions with velocity and magnetic fields. Finally, the weak convergence method and refined energy analysis are employed to obtain the desired convergence results.

Remark 2.1. The assumption that Π_0^ϵ converges strongly in L^2 to some φ_0 in fact implies that φ_0^ϵ converges strongly to φ_0 in L_2^γ.

Remark 2.2. The condition (2.10) is required in our proof to control the terms caused by the strong coupling between the hydrodynamic motion and the magnetic fields, see (3.24) below on the estimates of $S_3^\varepsilon(t)$, where $\rho^\epsilon, \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon$ and H^ε are involved. Notice that such condition is not needed in the proof of the inviscid and incompressible limit for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, see [16]. Thus it shows the presence of magnetic effect for our problem.

Remark 2.3. Compared with the results obtained in [9] on the periodic case where the convergence of compressible MHD equations to partial viscous MHD equations (shear viscosity efficient is zero but magnetic diffusion coefficient is a positive constant) is rigorously proved, here we can allow the magnetic diffusion coefficient also goes to zero due to the dispersive property of the acoustic wave in the whole space.

Remark 2.4. Our arguments in this paper can be applied, after slight modifications, to the case

$$\mu^\epsilon \to \mu^0, \quad \nu^\epsilon \to \nu^0 \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0,$$

where μ^0 and ν^0 are nonnegative constants satisfying (1) $\mu_0 > 0, \nu^0 = 0$, or (2) $\mu_0 = 0, \nu^0 > 0$ or (3) $\mu_0 > 0, \nu^0 > 0$. Hence we can also obtain the convergence of compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) to the incompressible MHD equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H} - \mu^0\Delta\mathbf{u} + \nabla p + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2) = 0,\\
&\partial_t \mathbf{H} + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H} - (\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u} - \nu^0\Delta\mathbf{H} = 0,\\
&\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \mathrm{div}\mathbf{H} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We omit the details for conciseness.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.3

In this section, we shall prove our convergence results by combining the modulated energy method with the Strichartz's estimate of linear wave equations and employing the weak convergence method.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1: Basic energy estimates and compact arguments.

By the assumption on the initial data we obtain, from the energy inequality (2.6), that the total energy $\mathcal{E}^\epsilon(t)$ has a uniform upper bound for a.e. $t \in [0,T], T > 0$. This uniform bound implies that $\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2$ and $\big((\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma(\rho^\epsilon - 1)\big)/\epsilon^2$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;L^1)$ and $\mathbf{H}^\epsilon$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2)$. By an analysis similar to that used in [14], we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}|\rho^\epsilon - 1|^2 1_{\{|\rho^\epsilon - 1|\le \frac{1}{2}\}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}|\rho^\epsilon - 1|^\gamma 1_{\{|\rho^\epsilon - 1|\ge \frac{1}{2}\}} \le C, \tag{3.1}$$

which implies that

$$\rho^\epsilon \to 1 \ \text{ strongly in } \ C([0,T], L_2^\gamma). \tag{3.2}$$

As in [2,14], we know that $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ is bounded in $L^2(0,T;L^2)$. Furthermore, the fact that $\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2$ and $|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2$ are bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;L^1)$ implies the following convergence (up to the extraction of a subsequence ϵ_n):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \text{ converges weakly-}* \text{ to some } \mathbf{J} \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;L^2),\\
&\mathbf{H}^\epsilon \text{ converges weakly-}* \text{ to some } \mathbf{K} \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;L^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Our main task in this section is to show that $\mathbf{J}=\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{H}$ in some sense, where $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{H})$ is the strong solution to the ideal incompressible MHD equations (1.12)-(1.14).

Step 2: Description and cancelation of the oscillations.

In order to describe the oscillations caused by the initial data, we use the ideas introduced in [16,18] and the dispersion property of the linear wave equations [2,16].

We project the momentum equation (1.9) on the "gradient vector-fields" to find

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t Q(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)+Q[\mathrm{div}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)]-(2\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon+\frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2)\\&\quad-Q[(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon]+\frac{a}{\epsilon^2}\nabla\big((\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma-1-\gamma(\rho^\epsilon-1)\big)+\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\nabla(\rho^\epsilon-1)=0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Noticing $\rho^\epsilon=1+\epsilon\varphi^\epsilon$, we can write (1.8) and (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon\partial_t\varphi^\epsilon+\mathrm{div}Q(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)=0,\\&\epsilon\partial_t Q(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)+\nabla\varphi^\epsilon=\epsilon\mathbf{F}^\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{F}^\epsilon$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}^\epsilon=&-Q[\mathrm{div}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)]+(2\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\frac{1}{2}\nabla(|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2)\\&+Q[(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon]-\frac{a}{\epsilon^2}\nabla\big((\rho^\epsilon)^\gamma-1-\gamma(\rho^\epsilon-1)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we introduce the following group defined by $\mathcal{L}(\tau)=e^{\tau L}$, $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$, where L is the operator defined on $\mathcal{D}'\times(\mathcal{D}')^d$ by

$$L\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\ \mathbf{v}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}-\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\\ -\nabla\phi\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, it is easy to check that $e^{\tau L}$ is an isometry on each $H^r\times(H^r)^d$ for all $r\in\mathbb{R}$ and for all $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$. Denoting

$$\begin{pmatrix}\bar\phi(\tau)\\ \bar{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\end{pmatrix}=e^{\tau L}\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\ \mathbf{v}\end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

$$\frac{\partial\bar\phi}{\partial\tau}=-\mathrm{div}\bar{\mathbf{v}},\quad \frac{\partial\bar{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial\tau}=-\nabla\bar\phi.$$

Thus, $\frac{\partial^2\bar\phi}{\partial\tau^2}-\Delta\bar\phi=0$.

Let $(\phi^\epsilon,\mathbf{g}^\epsilon=\nabla q^\epsilon)$ be the solution of the following system

$$\frac{\partial\phi^\epsilon}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathrm{div}\mathbf{g}^\epsilon,\qquad \phi^\epsilon|_{t=0}=\Pi_0^\epsilon,\tag{3.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{g}^\epsilon}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla\phi^\epsilon,\qquad \mathbf{g}^\epsilon|_{t=0}=Q(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon).\tag{3.5}$$

Here we have used $Q(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)$ as an approximation of $Q(\rho_0^\epsilon\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)$ since $||Q(\rho_0^\epsilon\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)-Q(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)||_{L^1}\to 0$ as $\epsilon\to 0$.

Our main idea is to use ϕ^ϵ and $\mathbf{g}^\epsilon$ as test functions and plug them into the total energy $\mathcal{E}^\epsilon(t)$ to cancel the oscillations. We introduce the following regularization for the initial data, $\Pi_0^{\epsilon,\delta}=\Pi_0^\epsilon*\chi^\delta$, $Q^\delta(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)=Q(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)*\chi^\delta$, and denote by $(\phi^{\epsilon,\delta},\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}=\nabla q^{\epsilon,\delta})$ the corresponding solution to the equations (3.4)-(3.5) with initial data $\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}|_{t=0}=\Pi_0^{\epsilon,\delta}$, $\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}|_{t=0}=Q^\delta(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}u_0^\epsilon)$. Here $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the Friedrich's mollifier, i.e., $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\chi=1$ and $\chi^\delta(x)=(1/\delta^d)\chi(x/\delta)$. Since the equations (3.4)-(3.5) are linear, it is easy to verify that $\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}=\phi^\epsilon*\chi^\delta,\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}=\mathbf{g}^\epsilon*\chi^\delta$.

Using the Strichartz estimate of the linear wave equations [2,16], we have

$$\left\|\begin{pmatrix}\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}\\ \nabla q^{\epsilon,\delta}\end{pmatrix}\right\|_{L^l(\mathbb{R},W^{s,r})}\le C\epsilon^{1/l}\left\|\begin{pmatrix}\Pi_0^{\epsilon,\delta}\\ Q^\delta(\sqrt{\rho_0^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon)\end{pmatrix}\right\|_{H^{s+\sigma}} \tag{3.6}$$

for all $l,r>2$ and $\sigma>0$ such that

$$\frac{2}{r}=(d-1)\Big(\frac12-\frac1l\Big),\qquad \sigma=\frac{d+1}{d-1}.$$

The estimate (3.6) implies that for arbitrary but fixed δ and for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\phi^{\epsilon,\delta},\ \mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\to0\quad\text{in}\quad L^l(\mathbb{R},W^{s,r})\quad\text{as}\quad\epsilon\to0. \tag{3.7}$$

Step 3: The modulated energy functional and uniform estimates.

We first recall the energy inequality of the compressible MHD equations (1.8)-(1.10) for almost all t,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big[\rho^\epsilon(t)|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2(t)+|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2(t)+(\Pi^\epsilon(t))^2\Big]+\mu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2\\ &\quad+(\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2+\nu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2\\ &\quad\le\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big[\rho_0^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon|^2+|\mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon|^2+(\Pi_0^\epsilon)^2\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The conservation of energy for the ideal incompressible MHD equations (1.12)-(1.14) reads

$$\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[|\mathbf{u}|^2(t)+|\mathbf{H}|^2(t)\big]=\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[|\mathbf{u}_0|^2+|\mathbf{H}_0|^2\big]. \tag{3.9}$$

From the system (3.4), (3.5) we get that for all t,

$$\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big[|\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}|^2+|\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}|^2\Big](t)=\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big[|\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}|^2+|\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}|^2\Big](0). \tag{3.10}$$

Using $\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}$ as a test function and noticing $\rho^\epsilon=1+\epsilon\varphi^\epsilon$, we obtain the following weak formulation of the continuity equation (1.8)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)\varphi^\epsilon(t)+\frac1\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[\mathrm{div}(\nabla q^{\epsilon,\delta})\varphi^\epsilon+\mathrm{div}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}\big]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)\varphi_0^\epsilon. \tag{3.11}$$

We use $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}=\nabla q^{\epsilon,\delta}$ to test the momentum equation (1.9) respectively, to deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{u})(t)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\big[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}-(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}+\nabla p+\frac12\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2)\big]$$

$$
-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}+(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{u}-\mu^\epsilon\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}\big]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho_0^\epsilon\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{u}_0, \tag{3.12}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla q^{\epsilon,\delta})(t)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\Big(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\nabla\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}\Big)-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\cdot\nabla\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[\mu^\epsilon\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}+(\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\big]\\
&\quad-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2\mathrm{div}\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\\
&\quad-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\varphi^\epsilon+\frac{\gamma-1}{2}(\Pi^\epsilon)^2\Big)\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho_0^\epsilon\mathbf{u}_0^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}(0).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}
$$

Similarly, using $\mathbf{H}$ as a test function to the magnetic field equation (1.10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{H})(t)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\big[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}-(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}\big]+\nu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{H}\\
&\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon+(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\big]\cdot\mathbf{H}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbf{H}_0^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{H}_0.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}
$$

Summing up (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), and inserting (3.11)-(3.14) into the resulting inequality, we can deduce the following inequality by a straightforward computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}|^2(t)+|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H}|^2(t)+(\Pi^\epsilon-\phi^{\epsilon,\delta})^2(t)\Big\}\\
&\quad+\mu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2+(\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2+\nu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2\\
&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big\{|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}|^2(0)+|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H}|^2(0)+(\Pi_0^\epsilon-\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(0))^2\Big\}\\
&\quad+\mu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{H}+R_1^\epsilon(t)+R_2^\epsilon(t)+R_3^{\epsilon,\delta}(t),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.15}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_1^\epsilon(t)=&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H})]-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{u}\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\big[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}-(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}\big]+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2)\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon+(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\big]\cdot\mathbf{H},\\
R_2^\epsilon(t)=&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\big[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}+\nabla p\big]-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\cdot\nabla u,\\
R_3^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1)\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1)\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)\\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1)\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[(\Pi^\epsilon-\varphi^\epsilon)\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}\big](t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\otimes\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\cdot\nabla\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[\mu^\epsilon\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}+(\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\mathrm{div}\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\big]\\
&-\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\Pi^\epsilon)^2\mathrm{div}\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1)\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)\\
&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2\mathrm{div}\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\Pi_0^\epsilon-\varphi_0^\epsilon)\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

We first deal with $R_1^\epsilon(t)$ and $R_2^\epsilon(t)$ on the right-hand side of the inequality (3.15). Denoting $\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}=\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}$, $\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon=\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H}$, integrating by parts and making use of the facts that $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{H}^\epsilon=0,\operatorname{div}\mathbf{u}=0$, and $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{H}=0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_1^\epsilon(t)=&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H})]+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\\
&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{H}^\epsilon+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{u}^\epsilon+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2)\\
=&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-\rho^\epsilon)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H})]+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H})\cdot\nabla]\mathbf{u}\cdot(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H})\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H})\cdot\nabla]\mathbf{H}\cdot(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u})-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[(\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u})\cdot\nabla]\mathbf{H}\cdot(\mathbf{H}^\epsilon-\mathbf{H})\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon-1)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2)\\
\leq&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-\rho^\epsilon)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H})]+\int_0^t||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(s)||_{L^2}^2||\nabla\mathbf{u}(s)||_{L^\infty}ds\\
&+\int_0^t\big[||\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}(s)||_{L^2}^2+||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(s)||_{L^2}^2\big]||\nabla\mathbf{H}(s)||_{L^\infty}ds\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot[(1-\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon})\mathbf{u}^\epsilon+\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}]\\
&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big\{[(1-\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon})\mathbf{u}^\epsilon+\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}]\cdot\nabla\big\}\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon-1)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2)
\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_2^\epsilon(t)=&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta})\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon-\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon})\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot((\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u})\\
&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u})\cdot\nabla]\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla p-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u})\cdot\nabla\big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2}\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Substituting (3.16) and (3.17) into the inequality (3.15), we conclude

$$||\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)||_{L^2}^2+||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(t)||_{L^2}^2+||\Pi^\epsilon(t)-\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)||_{L^2}^2+2\mu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+2(\mu^\epsilon+\lambda^\epsilon)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2+2\nu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2\\
\le&||\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)||_{L^2}^2+||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(0)||_{L^2}^2+||\Pi_0^\epsilon-\phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)||_{L^2}^2\\
&+2C\int_0^t\big(||\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}(s)||_{L^2}^2+||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(s)||_{L^2}^2\big)\big(||\nabla\mathbf{u}(s)||_{L^\infty}+||\nabla\mathbf{H}(s)||_{L^\infty}\big)ds\\
&+2S_1^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)+2\sum_{i=2}^7 S_2^\epsilon(t),
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_1^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)=&R_1^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon\\
&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}\,\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u})\cdot\nabla]\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta},\\
S_2^\epsilon(t)=&\mu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nu^\epsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla\mathbf{H},\\
S_3^\epsilon(t)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1)\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{u}\big](t)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big[(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1)\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{u}\big](0)\\
&+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon-\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon})\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot((\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}),\\
S_4^\epsilon(t)=&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}\cdot[(1-\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon})\mathbf{u}^\epsilon]-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big\{[(1-\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon})\mathbf{u}^\epsilon]\cdot\nabla\big\}\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon,\\
S_5^\epsilon(t)=&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(1-\rho^\epsilon)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H})]+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\rho^\epsilon-1)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2),\\
S_6^\epsilon(t)=&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon\mathbf{u}^\epsilon\cdot\nabla p,\\
S_7^\epsilon(t)=&-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon-\mathbf{u})\cdot\nabla\big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2}\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: Convergence of the modulated energy functional.

To show the convergence of the modulated energy functional and to finish our proof, we have to estimate the reminders $S_1^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)$ and $S_i^\epsilon(t), i=2,\dots,7$.

First in view of (3.1) and the following two elementary inequalities

$$
|\sqrt{x}-1|^2\le M|x-1|^\gamma,\quad |x-1|\ge\delta,\ \ \gamma\ge 1,\tag{3.19}
$$

$$
|\sqrt{x}-1|^2\le M|x-1|^2,\quad x\ge 0\tag{3.20}
$$

for some positive constant M and $0<\delta<1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2=&\int_{|\rho^\epsilon-1|\le 1/2}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2+\int_{|\rho^\epsilon-1|\ge 1/2}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2\\
\le&M\int_{|\rho^\epsilon-1|\le 1/2}|\rho^\epsilon-1|^2+M\int_{|\rho^\epsilon-1|\ge 1/2}|\rho^\epsilon-1|^\gamma\\
\le&M\epsilon^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}
$$

Then, by using Hölder inequality, the estimates (3.21) and (3.6), the assumption on the initial data, the strong convergence of ρ^ϵ, the estimates on $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$, $\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ and $\mathbf{H}^\epsilon$, and the regularity of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, we can show that $S_1^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)$ converges to 0 for all fixed δ and almost all t, uniformly in t when ϵ goes to 0. The similar arguments can be found in [2] and [8].

Next, we begin to estimate the terms $S_i^\epsilon(t), i = 2, \ldots, 7$. For the term $S_2^\epsilon(t)$, by Young's inequality and the regularity of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, we have

$$|S_2^\epsilon(t)| \leq \frac{\mu^\epsilon}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2 + \frac{\nu^\epsilon}{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon|^2 + C_T\mu^\epsilon + C_T\nu^\epsilon. \tag{3.22}$$

For the term $S_3^\epsilon(t)$, by Hölder's inequality, the estimate (3.21), the assumption on the initial data, the estimate on $\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$, and the regularity of $\mathbf{u}$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}|S_3^\epsilon(t)| \leq & C\epsilon + ||\mathbf{u}(t)||_{L^\infty}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\\ & + ||[(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u}](t)||_{L^\infty}\Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\\ \leq & C_T\epsilon. \end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

For the term $S_4^\epsilon(t)$, making use of the inequality (3.21), the basic inequality (2.6), the estimates on $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ and $\mathbf{H}^\epsilon$, the regularity of $\mathbf{H}$, the assumption (2.10), and Sobolev's imbedding theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|S_4^\epsilon(t)| \leq & (||[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}](t)||_{L^\infty} + ||\nabla\mathbf{H}(t)||_{L^\infty}\cdot||\mathbf{H}(t)||_{L^\infty})\\ & \times\Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\\ & + ||\nabla\mathbf{H}(t)||_{L^\infty}\int_0^t\Big[\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2\Big)^{\frac12}||\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(\tau)||_{L^6}||\mathbf{H}^\epsilon(\tau)||_{L^3}\Big]d\tau\\ \leq & C_T\epsilon + ||\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}\Big(\int_0^t||\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(\tau)||_{H^1}^2d\tau\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_0^t||\mathbf{H}^\epsilon(\tau)||_{H^1}^2d\tau\Big)^{\frac12}\\ \leq & C_T\epsilon + C_T\epsilon(T||\mathbf{u}^\epsilon||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + ||\nabla\mathbf{u}^\epsilon||_{L^2(0,T;L^2)})\\ & \times(T||\mathbf{H}^\epsilon||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + ||\nabla\mathbf{H}^\epsilon||_{L^2(0,T;L^2)})\\ \leq & C_T\epsilon + C_T\epsilon\big[T + (\mu^\epsilon)^{-\frac12}\big]\cdot\big[T + (\nu^\epsilon)^{-\frac12}\big]\\ \leq & C_T\epsilon + C_T\epsilon^\sigma \leq C_T\epsilon^\sigma,\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

where $\sigma = 1 - (\alpha+\beta)/2$.

For the term $S_5^\epsilon(t)$, one can utilize the inequality (3.21), the estimates on $\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ and $\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$, the regularity of $\mathbf{H}$, and $\rho^\epsilon - 1 = \rho^\epsilon - \sqrt{\rho^\epsilon} + \sqrt{\rho^\epsilon} - 1$ to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}|S_5^\epsilon(t)| \leq & (||[(\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{H}](t)||_{L^\infty} + ||\nabla(|\mathbf{H}|^2)||_{L^\infty})\Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}-1|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\\ & \times\Big[\Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho^\epsilon|\mathbf{u}^\epsilon|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

$$\le C_T\epsilon. \tag{3.25}$$

Using (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2), the term $S_6^\epsilon(t)$ can be bounded as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_6^\epsilon(t)| =& \Big| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla p \Big| \\
=& \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big\{ ((\rho^\epsilon - 1)p)(t) - ((\rho^\epsilon - 1)p)(0) \big\} - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho^\epsilon - 1)\partial_t p \Big| \\
\le& \Big(\int_{|\rho^\epsilon - 1| \le \frac{1}{2}} |\rho^\epsilon - 1|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big[\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p(t)|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p(0)|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big] \\
& + \Big(\int_{|\rho^\epsilon - 1| \ge \frac{1}{2}} |\rho^\epsilon - 1|^\gamma \Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Big[\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p(t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \Big)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p(0)|^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \Big)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \Big] \\
& + \int_0^t \Big(\int_{|\rho^\epsilon - 1| \le \frac{1}{2}} |\rho^\epsilon - 1|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_t p(t)|^2 \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + \int_0^t \Big(\int_{|\rho^\epsilon - 1| \ge \frac{1}{2}} |\rho^\epsilon - 1|^\gamma \Big)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_t p(t)|^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \Big)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} \\
\le& C_T(\epsilon + \epsilon^{2/\kappa}) \le C_T\epsilon,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where $\kappa = \min\{2, \gamma\}$ and we have used the conditions $s > d/2 + 2$ and $\gamma > 1$.

Finally, to estimate the term $S_7^\epsilon(t)$, we rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_7^\epsilon(t) =& - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big) \\
=& \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon} - 1)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big) - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^\epsilon \mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big) \\
=& S_{71}^\epsilon(t) + S_{72}^\epsilon(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$S_{71}^\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon} - 1)\mathbf{u}^\epsilon \cdot \nabla \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big),$$

$$S_{72}^\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho^\epsilon - 1)\partial_t \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big[\Big((\rho^\epsilon - 1) \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big) \Big)(t) - \Big((\rho^\epsilon - 1) \big(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \big) \Big)(0) \Big].$$

Applying arguments similar to those used for $S_3^\epsilon(t)$ and $S_6^\epsilon(t)$, we arrive at the following boundedness

$$|S_7^\epsilon(t)| \le |S_{71}^\epsilon(t)| + |S_{72}^\epsilon(t)| \le C_T\epsilon. \tag{3.28}$$

Thus by collecting all estimates above and applying the Gronwall's inequality we deduce that, for almost all $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& ||\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)||_{L^2}^2 + ||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(t)||_{L^2}^2 + ||\Pi^\epsilon(t) - \phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(t)||_{L^2}^2 \\
\le& \bar{C} \Big[||\mathbf{w}^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)||_{L^2}^2 + ||\mathbf{Z}^\epsilon(0)||_{L^2}^2 + ||\Pi_0^\epsilon - \phi^{\epsilon,\delta}(0)||_{L^2}^2 + C_T\epsilon^\sigma + \sup_{0 \le s \le t} S_1^{\epsilon,\delta}(s) \Big],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\bar{C} = \exp\Big\{C\int_0^T \big[||\nabla \mathbf{u}(s)||_{L^\infty} + ||\nabla \mathbf{H}(s)||_{L^\infty}\big]ds\Big\} < +\infty.$$

Noticing that the projection P is a bounded linear mapping from L^2 to L^2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|P(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} &= \sup_{0\le t\le T}\Big\|P\Big(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Thanks to (3.29), we further obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{\lim}_{\epsilon\to 0}||P(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon) - \mathbf{u}||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}\\ \le& C\bar{C}\lim_{\delta\to 0}\Big[||\mathbf{J}_0 - \mathbf{u}_0 - Q(\mathbf{J}_0)*\chi_\delta||_{L^2}^2 + ||\varphi_0 - \varphi_0*\chi_\delta||_{L^2}^2\Big] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The uniform convergence (in t) of $P(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)$ to $\mathbf{u}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is proved.

Now we claim that $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{u}$. Indeed for any $\phi = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{u})\phi dxdt\Big|\\ \le&\Big|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathbf{J} - \sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon)\phi dxdt\Big| + \Big|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta})\phi dxdt\Big|\\ &+\Big|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}\phi dxdt\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

Letting ϵ go to 0 and using (3.29) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$||\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{u}||_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}^2 \le C\bar{C}\Big[||\mathbf{J}_0 - \mathbf{u}_0 - Q(\mathbf{J}_0)*\chi_\delta||_{L^2}^2 + ||\varphi_0 - \varphi_0*\chi_\delta||_{L^2}^2\Big]. \tag{3.31}$$

Hence we deduce $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{u}$ in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2)$ by letting δ go to 0. Similarly, we can conclude that $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H}$ in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2)$.

Finally, we show the local strong convergence of $\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ to $\mathbf{u}$ in $L^r(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ for all $1 \le r < +\infty$ on any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In fact, for all t, we have

$$\begin{aligned}||\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u}||_{L^2(\Omega)} &\le ||\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}u^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}||_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ &\le ||\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon - \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\mathbf{g}^{\epsilon,\delta}||_{L^q(\Omega)}\end{aligned}$$

for any $q > 2$. Using the estimate (3.7), we can take the limit on ϵ and then on δ as above to deduce that $\sqrt{\rho^\epsilon}\mathbf{u}^\epsilon$ converges to $\mathbf{u}$ in $L^r(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$. Thus we complete our proof. □

Acknowledgement: This work was partially done during Fucai Li visited the Institute of Applied Physcis can computational Mathematics, the author would like to thank the hospitality of the institute. Jiang was supported by the National Basic Research Program (Grant No. 2005CB321700) and NSFC (Grant No. 40890154). Ju was supported by NSFC(Grant No. 10701011). Li was supported by NSFC (Grant No. 10501047, 10971094).

References

[1] Y. Brenier, Convergence of the Vlasov-Poisson system to the incompressible Euler equations. Comm. PDE **25** (2000), 737-754.

[2] B. Desjardins, E. Grenier, Low Mach number limit of viscous compressible flows in the whole space, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **455** (1999), 2271-2279.

[3] J. I. Díaz, M. B. Lerena, On the inviscid and non-resistive limit for the equations of incompressible magnetohydrodynamics, Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci. **12** (2002), 1401-1419.

[4] J. Fan, W. Yu, Strong solution to the compressible MHD equations with vacuum, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **10** (2009), 392-409.

[5] J. Fan, W. Yu, Global variational solutions to the compressible MHD equations, Nonlinear Anal. 69 (2008) 3637-3660.

[6] X. Hu, D. Wang, Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., to appear. arXiv:0904.3587.

[7] X. Hu, D. Wang, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, Comm. Math. Phys. **283** (2008), 255-284.

[8] X. Hu, D. Wang, Low Mach number limit of viscous compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **41** (2009), 1272-1294.

[9] S. Jiang, Q.-c. Ju, F.-c. Li, Incompressible limit of the compressible Magnetohydrodynamic equations with periodic boundary conditions, Comm. Math. Phys., (2010), to appear.

[10] S. Kawashima, Smooth global solutions for two-dimensional equations of electromagnetofluid dynamics, Japan J. Appl. Math. **1** (1984), 207-222.

[11] A. G. Kulikovskiy, G. A. Lyubimov, Magnetohydrodynamics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1965.

[12] L. D. Laudau, E. M. Lifshitz, Electrodynamics of Continuous Media, 2nd ed., Pergamon, New York, 1984.

[13] F.-C. Li, H.-J. Yu, Optimal decay rate of classical solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, preprint, 2009.

[14] P.-L. Lions, N. Masmoudi, Incompressible limit for a viscous compressible fluid, J. Math. Pures Appl. **77** (1998), 585-627.

[15] N. Masmoudi, Ekman layers of rotating fluids, the case of general initial data, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53** (2000), 432-483.

[16] N. Masmoudi, Incompressible, inviscid limit of the compressible Navier-Stokes system, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **18** (2001), 199-224.

[17] R. V. Polovin, V. P. Demutskii, Fundamentals of Magnetohydrodynamics, Consultants, Bureau, New York, 1990.

[18] S. Schochet, Fast singular limits of hyperbolic PDEs, J. Diff. Eqns. **114** (1994), 476-512.

[19] T. Umeda, S. Kawashima, Y. Shizuta, On the decay of solutions to the linearized equations of electromagnetofluid dynamics, Japan J. Appl. Math. **1** (1984), 435-457.

[20] A. I. Vol'pert, S. I. Khudiaev, On the Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear equations, Mat. Sbornik **87** (1972), 504-528.

[21] J.-W. Zhang, S. Jiang, F. Xie, Global weak solutions of an initial boundary value problem for screw pinches in plasma physics. Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci. **19** (2009), 833-875.

LCP, Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P.O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, P.R. China
E-mail address: `jiang@iapcm.ac.cn`

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P.O. Box 8009-28, Beijing 100088, P.R. China
E-mail address: `qiangchang_ju@yahoo.com`

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P.R. China
E-mail address: `fli@nju.edu.cn`